

Acta Arith. 124(2006), no. 1, 41–57.

ON q -EULER NUMBERS, q -SALIÉ NUMBERS AND q -CARLITZ NUMBERS

HAO PAN AND ZHI-WEI SUN (NANJING)

ABSTRACT. Let $(a; q)_n = \prod_{0 \leq k < n} (1 - aq^k)$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Define q -Euler numbers $E_n(q)$, q -Salié numbers $S_n(q)$ and q -Carlitz numbers $C_n(q)$ as follows:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n(q) \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(2n-1)} x^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n}} \right)^{-1},$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n(q) \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n-1)} x^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n}} \bigg/ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(2n-1)} x^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n}}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(q) \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n-1)} x^{2n+1}}{(q; q)_{2n+1}} \bigg/ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(2n+1)} x^{2n+1}}{(q; q)_{2n+1}}.$$

We show that

$$E_{2n}(q) - E_{2n+2^s t}(q) \equiv [2^s]_{q^t} \pmod{(1+q)[2^s]_{q^t}}$$

for any nonnegative integers n, s, t with $2 \nmid t$, where $[k]_q = (1 - q^k)/(1 - q)$; this is a q -analogue of Stern's congruence $E_{2n+2^s} \equiv E_{2n} + 2^s \pmod{2^{s+1}}$. We also prove that $(-q; q)_n = \prod_{0 < k \leq n} (1 + q^k)$ divides $S_{2n}(q)$ and the numerator of $C_{2n}(q)$; this extends Carlitz's result that 2^n divides the Salié number S_{2n} and the numerator of the Carlitz number C_{2n} . Our result on q -Salié numbers implies a conjecture of Guo and Zeng.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Euler numbers $E_0, E_1, E_2, \dots$ are defined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{2e^x}{e^{2x} + 1} = \left(\frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2} \right)^{-1} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!} \right)^{-1};$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classifications*: Primary 11B65; Secondary 05A30, 11A07, 11B68.

The second author is responsible for communications, and supported by the National Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars (no. 10425103) and a Key Program of NSF in P. R. China.

they are all integers because there holds the recursion

$$\sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 2|k}}^n \binom{n}{k} E_{n-k} = \delta_{n,0} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}),$$

where the Kronecker symbol $\delta_{n,m}$ is 1 or 0 according as $n = m$ or not. It is easy to see that $E_{2k+1} = 0$ for every $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. In 1871 Stern [St] obtained an interesting arithmetic property of the Euler numbers:

$$E_{2n+2^s} \equiv E_{2n} + 2^s \pmod{2^{s+1}} \quad \text{for any } n, s \in \mathbb{N}; \quad (1.1)$$

equivalently we have

$$E_{2m} \equiv E_{2n} \pmod{2^{s+1}} \iff m \equiv n \pmod{2^s} \quad \text{for any } m, n, s \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.1')$$

Later Frobenius amplified Stern's proof in 1910, and several different proofs of (1.1) or (1.1') were given by Ernvall [E], Wagstaff [W] and Sun [Su]. Our first goal is to provide a complete q -analogue of the Stern congruence.

As usual we let $(a; q)_n = \prod_{0 \leq k < n} (1 - aq^k)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where an empty product is regarded to have value 1 and hence $(a; q)_0 = 1$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we set

$$[n]_q = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} = \sum_{0 \leq k < n} q^k,$$

this is the usual q -analogue of n . For any $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, if $k \leq n$ then we call

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{\prod_{0 < r \leq n} [r]_q}{(\prod_{0 < s \leq k} [s]_q)(\prod_{0 < t \leq n-k} [t]_q)} = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{n-k}}$$

a q -binomial coefficient; if $k > n$ then we let $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = 0$. Obviously we have $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \binom{n}{k}$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = q^k \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q + \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \quad \text{for all } k, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

By this recursion, each q -binomial coefficient is a polynomial in q with integer coefficients.

We define q -Euler numbers $E_n(q)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n(q) \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\binom{2n}{2}} x^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n}} \right)^{-1}. \quad (1.2)$$

Multiplying both sides by $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\binom{2n}{2}} x^{2n} / (q; q)_{2n}$, we obtain the recursion

$$\sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 2|k}}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\binom{k}{2}} E_{n-k}(q) = \delta_{n,0} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

which implies that $E_n(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n(q) \frac{x^n}{\prod_{0 < k \leq n} [k]_q} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n(q) \frac{((1-q)x)^n}{(q; q)_n} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\binom{2n}{2}} ((1-q)x)^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n}} \right)^{-1} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\binom{2n}{2}} x^{2n}}{\prod_{0 < k \leq 2n} [k]_q} \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} E_n(q) = E_n$.

The usual way to define a q -analogue of Euler numbers is as follows:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{E}_n(q) \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n}} \right)^{-1}.$$

(See, e.g., [GZ].) We assert that $\tilde{E}_n(q) = q^{\binom{n}{2}} E_n(1/q)$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\binom{n}{2}} E_n(q^{-1}) \frac{x^n}{\prod_{0 < k \leq n} (1 - q^k)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n(q^{-1}) \frac{(-q^{-1}x)^n}{\prod_{0 < k \leq n} (1 - q^{-k})} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{-\binom{2n}{2}} (-q^{-1}x)^{2n}}{\prod_{0 < k \leq 2n} (1 - q^{-k})} \right)^{-1} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2n}}{\prod_{0 < k \leq 2n} (1 - q^k)} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Recently, with the help of cyclotomic polynomials, Guo and Zeng [GZ] proved that if $m, n, s, t \in \mathbb{N}$, $m - n = 2^s t$ and $2 \nmid t$ then

$$\tilde{E}_{2m}(q) \equiv q^{m-n} \tilde{E}_{2n}(q) \left(\text{mod } \prod_{r=0}^s (1 + q^{2^r t}) \right).$$

This is a partial q -analogue of Stern's result.

Using our q -analogue of Euler numbers, we are able to give below a complete q -analogue of the classical result of Stern.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n, s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $2 \nmid t$. Then*

$$E_{2n}(q) - E_{2n+2^s t}(q) \equiv [2^s]_{q^t} \pmod{(1+q)[2^s]_{q^t}}. \quad (1.3)$$

The Salié numbers S_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) are given by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{\cosh x}{\cos x} = \frac{(e^x + e^{-x})/2}{(e^{ix} + e^{-ix})/2} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!} \right) / \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!}.$$

Multiplying both sides by $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^{2n} / (2n)!$ we get the recursion

$$\sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 2|k}}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{k/2} S_{n-k} = \frac{1 + (-1)^n}{2} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

which implies that all Salié numbers are integers and $S_{2k+1} = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

By a sophisticated use of some deep properties of Bernoulli numbers, in 1965 Carlitz [C2] proved that $2^n \mid S_{2n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (which was first conjectured by Gandhi [G]). Recently Guo and Zeng [GZ] defined a q -analogue of Salié numbers in the following way:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{S}_n(q) \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2} x^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n}} \bigg/ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n}}$$

and hence

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^k \tilde{S}_{2n-2k}(q) = q^{n^2} \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

They conjectured that $(-q; q)_n = \prod_{0 < k \leq n} (1 + q^k)$ divides $\tilde{S}_{2n}(q)$ (in $\mathbb{Z}[q]$).

We define q -Salié numbers by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n(q) \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n-1)} x^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n}} \bigg/ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{\binom{2n}{2}} x^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n}}. \quad (1.4)$$

Multiplying both sides by $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\binom{2n}{2}} x^{2n} / (q; q)_{2n}$ one finds the recursion

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^k q^{\binom{2k}{2}} S_{2n-2k}(q) = q^{n(n-1)} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.5)$$

In this paper we are able to prove the following q -analogue of Carlitz's result concerning Salié numbers.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(-q; q)_n = \prod_{0 < k \leq n} (1 + q^k)$ divides $S_{2n}(q)$ in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[q]$.*

Corollary 1.1. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $(-q; q)_n \mid \tilde{S}_{2n}(q)$ in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ as conjectured by Guo and Zeng.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.2, $S_{2n}(q) = (-q; q)_n P_n(q)$ for some $P_n(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$. Let m be a natural number not smaller than $\deg P$. Then $q^m P(q^{-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$. Since

$$q^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \prod_{0 < k \leq n} (1 + q^{-k}) = \prod_{0 < k \leq n} (1 + q^k),$$

$q^{m+\binom{n+1}{2}}S_{2n}(q^{-1})$ is in $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ and divisible by $(-q; q)_n$. If the equality

$$\tilde{S}_{2n}(q) = q^{\binom{2n}{2}}S_{2n}(q^{-1})$$

holds, then $q^m \tilde{S}_{2n}(q)$ is divisible by $(-q; q)_n$ and hence so is $\tilde{S}_{2n}(q)$ since q^m is relatively prime to $(-q; q)_n$.

Now let us explain why $\tilde{S}_n(q) = q^{\binom{n}{2}}S_n(q^{-1})$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\binom{n}{2}}S_n(q^{-1}) \frac{x^n}{\prod_{0 < k \leq n} (1 - q^k)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n(q^{-1}) \frac{(-q^{-1}x)^n}{\prod_{0 < k \leq n} (1 - q^{-k})} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{-n(n-1)}(-q^{-1}x)^{2n}}{\prod_{0 < k \leq 2n} (1 - q^{-k})} \bigg/ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{-\binom{2n}{2}}(-q^{-1}x)^{2n}}{\prod_{0 < k \leq 2n} (1 - q^{-k})} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2} x^{2n}}{\prod_{0 < k \leq 2n} (1 - q^k)} \bigg/ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n}}{\prod_{0 < k \leq 2n} (1 - q^k)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{S}_n(q) \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes our proof. $\square$

In 1956 Carlitz [C1] investigated the coefficients of

$$\frac{\sinh x}{\sin x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \frac{x^n}{n!},$$

where

$$\sinh x = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}.$$

We call those numbers C_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) *Carlitz numbers*. In 1965 Carlitz [C2] proved a conjecture of Gandhi [G] which states that 2^n divides the numerator of C_{2n} .

Now we define q -Carlitz numbers $C_n(q)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(q) \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n-1)} x^{2n+1}}{(q; q)_{2n+1}} \bigg/ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(2n+1)} x^{2n+1}}{(q; q)_{2n+1}}. \quad (1.6)$$

Multiplying both sides by $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n(2n+1)} x^{2n+1} / (q; q)_{2n+1}$ we get the recursion

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k+1 \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^k q^{k(2k+1)} C_{2n-2k}(q) = q^{n(n-1)} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.7)$$

By (1.7) and induction,

$$[1]_q [3]_q \cdots [2n+1]_q C_{2n}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q];$$

in particular, $(2n+1)!! C_{2n} \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q^j = -1$, then $q^{j(2k+1)} = -1$ and hence $q^{2k+1} \neq 1$. Thus $q^j + 1$ is relatively prime to $1 - q^{2k+1}$ for any $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence $(-q; q)_n = \prod_{0 < j \leq n} (1 + q^j)$ is relatively prime to the denominator of $C_{2n}(q)$. This basic property will be used later.

Here is our q -analogue of Carlitz's divisibility result concerning Carlitz numbers.

Theorem 1.3. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(-q; q)_n$ divides the numerator of $C_{2n}(q)$.*

Note that $E_{2k+1}(q) = S_{2k+1}(q) = C_{2k+1}(q) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ because

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n(q) \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n}, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n(q) \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n}, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(q) \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n}$$

are even functions.

Our approach to q -Euler numbers, q -Salié numbers and q -Carlitz numbers is quite different from that of Guo and Zeng [GZ]. The proofs of Theorems 1.1–1.3 depend on new recursions for q -Euler numbers, q -Salié numbers and q -Carlitz numbers. In the next section we will prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 3 we establish an auxiliary theorem which essentially says that if $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 2k+l \geq 0}} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)} \left[\begin{matrix} 2n \\ 2k+l \end{matrix} \right]_q \equiv 0 \pmod{(-q; q)_n}. \quad (1.8)$$

(We can also substitute $2n+1$ for $2n$ in (1.8).) Section 4 is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 on the basis of Section 3.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Lemma 2.1. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$E_{2n}(q) = 1 - \sum_{0 < k \leq n} (-q; q)_{2k-1} \left[\begin{matrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{matrix} \right]_q E_{2(n-k)}(q). \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Let us recall the following three known identities (cf. Theorem 10.2.1 and Corollary 10.2.2 of [AAR]):

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\binom{n}{2}} (-x)^n}{(q; q)_n} = (x; q)_{\infty}$$

where $(x; q)_{\infty} = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - xq^n)$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{1}{(x; q)_{\infty}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1; q)_n x^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(-x; q)_{\infty}}{(x; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n(q) \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n} &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\binom{n}{2}} x^n}{(q; q)_n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\binom{n}{2}} (-x)^n}{(q; q)_n} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{(x; q)_{\infty} + (-x; q)_{\infty}} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n(q) \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n} \right) \left(1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1; q)_n x^n}{(q; q)_n} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(x; q)_{\infty} + (-x; q)_{\infty}} \left(1 + \frac{(-x; q)_{\infty}}{(x; q)_{\infty}} \right) = \frac{1}{(x; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of x^n we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{2} E_n(q) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1; q)_k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q E_{n-k}(q) = 1,$$

i.e.,

$$E_n(q) = 1 - \sum_{0 < k \leq n} (-q; q)_{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q E_{n-k}(q).$$

Substituting $2n$ for n in the last equality and recalling that $E_{2j+1}(q) = 0$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we immediately obtain the desired (2.1). $\square$

Corollary 2.1. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$E_{2n}(q) \equiv 1 \pmod{1+q}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. This follows from (2.1) because $1+q$ divides $(-q; q)_m$ for all $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ $\square$

The following trick is simple but useful.

$$\prod_{k=0}^n (1 + q^{2^k}) = [2^{n+1}]_q \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.3)$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} (1-q) \prod_{k=0}^n (1 + q^{2^k}) &= (1-q^2) \prod_{0 < k \leq n} (1 + q^{2^k}) \\ &= \dots = (1 - q^{2^n})(1 + q^{2^n}) = 1 - q^{2^{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let m, n, s, t be positive integers with $2m \geq n$ and $2 \nmid t$. Then $(-q; q)_m \begin{bmatrix} 2^s t \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q$ is divisible by $(1+q)^{\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor} [2^s]_{q^t}$, where we use $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor$ to denote the greatest integer not exceeding a real number α .*

Proof. Write $n = 2^k l$ with $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $2 \nmid l$. Then

$$[n]_q = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} = \frac{1 - q^{2^k l}}{1 - q^l} \cdot \frac{1 - q^l}{1 - q} = [2^k]_{q^l} [l]_q.$$

Obviously $[2^k]_{q^l} = \prod_{0 \leq j < k} (1 + q^{2^j l})$ divides $(-q; q)_m = \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + q^j)$ since $m \geq n/2 = 2^{k-1}l$. Thus $[2^s]_{q^t} = [2^s t]_q / [t]_q$ divides

$$[l]_q (-q; q)_m \begin{bmatrix} 2^s t \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(-q; q)_m}{[2^k]_{q^l}} [2^s t]_q \begin{bmatrix} 2^s t - 1 \\ n - 1 \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Note that $[2^s]_{q^t} = \prod_{r=0}^{s-1} (1 + q^{2^r t})$ is relatively prime to $[l]_q = (1 - q^l)/(1 - q)$ since $l \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Therefore $[2^s]_{q^t}$ divides $(-q; q)_m \begin{bmatrix} 2^s t \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q$.

Clearly $(1 + q)^{\lfloor (m+1)/2 \rfloor}$ divides

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor (m+1)/2 \rfloor} (1 + q^{2^{j-1}}) \times \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} (1 + q^{2^j}) = (-q; q)_m.$$

Since

$$[2^s]_{q^t} = \frac{1 - q^{2t}}{1 - q^t} \cdot \frac{1 - q^{2^s t}}{1 - q^{2^s}} = (1 + q) \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (-q)^j \sum_{r=0}^{2^{s-1}-1} q^{2^r t}$$

and $\sum_{0 \leq j < t} (-q)^j \sum_{0 \leq r < 2^{s-1}} q^{2^r t}$ takes value $2^{s-1}t \neq 0$ at $q = -1$, the polynomial $[2^s]_{q^t}$ is divisible by $1 + q$ but not by $(1 + q)^2$. Therefore $(1 + q)^{\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor} [2^s]_{q^t}$ divides $(-q; q)_m \begin{bmatrix} 2^s t \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q$ by the above. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The case $s = 0$ is easy. In fact,

$$E_{2n}(q) - E_{2n+2^0 t}(q) = E_{2n}(q) \equiv 1 = [2^0]_{q^t} \pmod{(1 + q)[2^0]_{q^t}}$$

by Corollary 2.1.

Below we handle the case $s > 0$ and use induction on n . Assume that

$$E_{2m}(q) - E_{2m+2^s t}(q) \equiv [2^s]_{q^t} \pmod{(1 + q)[2^s]_{q^t}} \text{ whenever } 0 \leq m < n. \quad (*)$$

(This holds trivially in the case $n = 0$.) In view of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{2n}(q) - E_{2n+2^s t}(q) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n+2^{s-1}t} (-q; q)_{2k-1} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 2n + 2^s t \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q E_{2n+2^s t-2k}(q) - \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q E_{2n-2k}(q) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we set $E_l(q) = 0$ for $l < 0$.

Let $0 < k \leq n + 2^{s-1}t$. Applying a q -analogue of the Chu-Vandermonde identity (cf. [AAR, Exercise 10.4(b)]), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{bmatrix} 2n + 2^s t \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q E_{2n+2^s t-2k}(q) - \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q E_{2n-2k}(q) \\
 &= E_{2n+2^s t-2k}(q) \sum_{j=0}^{2k} q^{(2n-j)(2k-j)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 2^s t \\ 2k-j \end{bmatrix}_q - \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q E_{2n-2k}(q) \\
 &= E_{2n+2^s t-2k}(q) \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} q^{(2n-j)(2k-j)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 2^s t \\ 2k-j \end{bmatrix}_q \\
 &+ \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q (E_{2n+2^s t-2k}(q) - E_{2n-2k}(q)).
 \end{aligned}$$

In view of the hypothesis (*),

$$(-q; q)_{2k-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q (E_{2n+2^s t-2k}(q) - E_{2(n-k)}(q)) \equiv 0 \pmod{(1+q)[2^s]_{q^t}}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, if $0 \leq j < 2k$ then $(-q; q)_{2k-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2^s t \\ 2k-j \end{bmatrix}_q$ is divisible by $(1+q)^{k-1}[2^s]_{q^t}$. Therefore, if $k > 1$ then $(1+q)[2^s]_{q^t}$ divides

$$(-q; q)_{2k-1} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 2n + 2^s t \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q E_{2n+2^s t-2k}(q) - \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q E_{2n-2k}(q) \right)$$

by the above. In the case $k = 1$,

$$(-q; q)_{2k-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2^s t \\ 2k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q = (1+q)[2^s t]_q = (1+q)[2^s]_{q^t} [t]_q$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (-q; q)_1 \left(\begin{bmatrix} 2n + 2^s t \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q E_{2n+2^s t-2}(q) - \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q E_{2n-2}(q) \right) \\
 &\equiv (1+q) E_{2n+2^s t-2}(q) q^{(2n-0)(2-0)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 2^s t \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q \pmod{(1+q)[2^s]_{q^t}} \\
 &\equiv E_{2n+2^s t-2}(q) q^{4n} \frac{1+q}{[2]_q} [2^s t]_q [2^s t-1]_q \pmod{(1+q)[2^s]_{q^t}} \\
 &\equiv E_{2n+2^s t-2}(q) q^{4n} [2^s]_{q^t} [t]_q (1+q[2^s t-2]_q) \equiv [2^s]_{q^t} \pmod{(1+q)[2^s]_{q^t}};
 \end{aligned}$$

in the last step we have noted that $q^{4n} - 1, [t]_q - 1, [2^s t - 2]_q$ are divisible by $1+q$, and $E_{2n+2^s t-2}(q) \equiv 1 \pmod{1+q}$ by Corollary 2.1.

Combining the above we obtain that

$$E_{2n}(q) - E_{2n+2^s t}(q) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{n+2^{s-1}t} \delta_{k,1} [2^s]_{q^t} = [2^s]_{q^t} \pmod{(1+q)[2^s]_{q^t}}.$$

This concludes the induction.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is now complete. $\square$

Remark 2.1. With a bit more efforts we can prove the following more general result: For $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ let

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n^{(k)}(q) \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\binom{k}{2}n} \frac{x^{kn}}{(q; q)_{kn}} \right)^{-1}.$$

Given positive integers k, s, t with $2 \nmid t$, we have

$$E_{2k'n}^{(2k')}(q) - E_{2k'(n+2^{s-1}t)}^{(2k')}(q) \equiv (2k' - 1)[2^s]_{q^{k't}} \pmod{(1+q^{k'})[2^s]_{q^{k't}}}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $k' = 2^{k-1}$. This is a q -analogue of Conjecture 5.5 in [GZ].

3. AN AUXILIARY THEOREM

Theorem 3.1. *For all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, both*

$$S_n^m := \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)+2m(n-k)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$T_n^m := \sum_{0 \leq k < n} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)+2m(n-1-k)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k+1 \end{bmatrix}_q \quad (3.2)$$

are divisible by $(-q; q)_n = \prod_{0 < k \leq n} (1+q^k)$ in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[q]$. Also, for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ we have the congruence

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{k(k+2m-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k+\delta \end{bmatrix}_q \equiv 0 \pmod{(-q; q)_n}. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. (i) We use induction on n to prove the first part.

For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, clearly both $S_0^m = 1$ and $T_0^m = 0$ are divisible by $(-q; q)_0 = 1$, also both $S_1^m = q^{2m} - 1$ and $T_1^m = [2]_q = 1 + q$ are multiples of $(-q; q)_1 = 1 + q$.

Now let $n > 1$ be an integer and assume that $(-q; q)_{n-1}$ divides both S_{n-1}^m and T_{n-1}^m for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^m &= \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^{n-l} q^{(n-l)(n-l-1)+2ml} \left[\begin{matrix} 2n \\ 2(n-l) \end{matrix} \right]_q \\ &= (-1)^n q^{n(n-1)} \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l q^{l(l+1)-2ln+2lm} \left[\begin{matrix} 2n \\ 2l \end{matrix} \right]_q \\ &= (-1)^n q^{n(n-1)-2n(n-1-m)} S_n^{n-1-m} = (-1)^n q^{n(2m-n+1)} S_n^{n-1-m}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$S_n^n = (-1)^n q^{n(n+1)} S_n^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad S_n^{n-1} = (-1)^n q^{n(n-1)} S_n^0.$$

Similarly, for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_n^m &= \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1-l} q^{(n-1-l)(n-l-2)+2ml} \left[\begin{matrix} 2n \\ 2(n-1-l)+1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \\ &= (-1)^{n-1} q^{(n-1)(n-2)} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (-1)^l q^{l(l+1)-2l(n-1)+2lm} \left[\begin{matrix} 2n \\ 2l+1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \\ &= (-1)^{n-1} q^{(n-1)(2m-n+2)} T_n^{n-2-m}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$T_n^{n-1} = (-1)^{n-1} q^{n(n-1)} T_n^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad T_n^{n-2} = (-1)^{n-1} q^{(n-1)(n-2)} T_n^0.$$

For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, clearly

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^{m+1} - S_n^m &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)+2m(n-k)} (q^{2(n-k)} - 1) \left[\begin{matrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{matrix} \right]_q \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)+2m(n-k)} (q^{2n} - 1) \left[\begin{matrix} 2n-1 \\ 2k \end{matrix} \right]_q \\ &= (q^{2n} - 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)+2m(n-k)} q^{2k} \left[\begin{matrix} 2n-2 \\ 2k \end{matrix} \right]_q \\ &\quad + (q^{2n} - 1) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)+2m(n-k)} \left[\begin{matrix} 2n-2 \\ 2k-1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \\ &= (q^{2n} - 1) q^{2(m+n-1)} S_{n-1}^{m-1} - (q^{2n} - 1) q^{2(m+n-2)} T_{n-1}^{m-1} \\ &= (q^{2n} - 1) q^{2(m+n-2)} (q^2 S_{n-1}^{m-1} - T_{n-1}^{m-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
qT_n^{m+1} - T_n^m &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)+2m(n-1-k)} (q^{2(n-1-k)+1} - 1) \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k+1 \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)+2m(n-1-k)} (q^{2n} - 1) \begin{bmatrix} 2n-1 \\ 2k+1 \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&= (q^{2n} - 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)+2m(n-1-k)} q^{2k+1} \begin{bmatrix} 2n-2 \\ 2k+1 \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&\quad + (q^{2n} - 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)+2m(n-1-k)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n-2 \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&= (q^{2n} - 1) q^{2m+2n-3} T_{n-1}^{m-1} + (q^{2n} - 1) S_{n-1}^m,
\end{aligned}$$

therefore by the induction hypothesis we have

$$S_n^{m+1} \equiv S_n^m \pmod{(-q; q)_n} \quad \text{and} \quad qT_n^{m+1} \equiv T_n^m \pmod{(-q; q)_n}.$$

(Note that both $q^{n(n-1)} S_{n-1}^{-1} = (-1)^{n-1} S_{n-1}^{n-1}$ and $q^{(n-1)(n-2)} T_{n-1}^{-1} = (-1)^n T_{n-1}^{n-2}$ are divisible by $(-q; q)_{n-1}$ by the induction hypothesis.) Thus, if $(-q; q)_n$ divides both S_n^0 and T_n^0 then it divides both S_n^m and T_n^m for every $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_n^0 &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2n-2k \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)+2n-2k} \begin{bmatrix} 2n-1 \\ 2n-2k \end{bmatrix}_q + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n-1 \\ 2n-2k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)} q^{2(2n-2k)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n-2 \\ 2n-2k \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)} (q^{2n-2k} + q^{2n-2k-1}) \begin{bmatrix} 2n-2 \\ 2n-2k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n-2 \\ 2n-2k-2 \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&= -q^{2n-2} S_{n-1}^1 - q^{2n-3} (1+q) T_{n-1}^0 + S_{n-1}^0
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $(-q; q)_{n-1}$ divides S_n^0 by the induction hypothesis. Similarly, $(-q; q)_{n-1}$ divides $T_n^0 = -q^{2n-2} T_{n-1}^1 + (1+q) S_{n-1}^1 + T_{n-1}^0$.

Since

$$(-1)^n q^{n(n-1)} S_n^0 = S_n^{n-1} \equiv S_n^0 \pmod{(-q; q)_n}$$

and

$$1 - (-1)^n q^{n(n-1)} \equiv 1 - (-1)^n (-1)^{n-1} = 2 \pmod{1 + q^n},$$

we must have $S_n^0/(-q; q)_{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{1 + q^n}$ and hence $(-q; q)_n \mid S_n^0$. Similarly, as

$$q^{n-2} (-1)^{n-1} q^{(n-1)(n-2)} T_n^0 = q^{n-2} T_n^{n-2} \equiv T_n^0 \pmod{(-q; q)_n}$$

and $1 - (-1)^{n-1} q^{n(n-2)} \equiv 2 \pmod{1 + q^n}$, we have $T_n^0/(-q; q)_{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{1 + q^n}$ and hence $(-q; q)_n \mid T_n^0$. This concludes our induction step and proves the first part.

(ii) Now fix $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$. We can verify (3.3) directly if $n < 2$.

Below we assume $n \geq 2$. By a previous argument,

$$(-1)^n S_n^{m+n-1} = q^{n(2m+n-1)} S_n^{-m} = q^{n(n-1)} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{k(k+2m-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{n-1} T_n^{m+n-2} &= q^{(n-1)(2m+n-2)} T_n^{-m} \\ &= q^{(n-1)(n-2)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k q^{k(k+2m-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k+1 \end{bmatrix}_q. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, applying the first part we immediately get (3.3).

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is now complete. $\square$

Remark 3.1. Theorem 3.1 is somewhat difficult and sophisticated, however it is easy to evaluate the sums

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{2n}{2k} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{k} \frac{i^k + (-i)^k}{2}$$

and

$$\sum_{0 \leq k < n} (-1)^k \binom{2n}{2k+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{k} \frac{i^k - (-i)^k}{2i}.$$

Now let us explain why (1.8) holds for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Write $l = 2m + \delta$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 2k+l \geq 0}} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k+l \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&= \sum_{k+m \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2(k+m) + \delta \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^{k-m} q^{(k-m)(k-m-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k+\delta \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&= (-1)^m \sum_{k=0}^{n-\delta} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)-2km+m(m+1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k+\delta \end{bmatrix}_q.
\end{aligned}$$

So (1.8) follows from Theorem 3.1. Note also that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 2k+l \geq 0}} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k+l \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&- \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 2k+l-1 \geq 0}} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k+l-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 2k+l \geq 0}} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)+2k+l} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k+l \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&= q^l \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 2k+l-2 \geq 0}} (-1)^{k-1} q^{k(k-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k+l-2 \end{bmatrix}_q
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 2k+l \geq 0}} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k+l \end{bmatrix}_q \equiv 0 \pmod{(-q; q)_n}. \quad (3.4)$$

4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.2 AND 1.3

Lemma 4.1. *We have*

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-q; q)_{2n-1} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{\binom{2k}{2}} \frac{(-1)^k x^{2k}}{(q; q)_{2k}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l x^{2l}}{(q; q)_{2l}} \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-q; q)_{2n} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n+1}}{(q; q)_{2n+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{\binom{2k+1}{2}} \frac{(-1)^k x^{2k+1}}{(q; q)_{2k+1}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l x^{2l}}{(q; q)_{2l}}. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. Let $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{\binom{2k+\delta}{2}} x^{2k+\delta}}{(q; q)_{2k+\delta}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l x^{2l}}{(q; q)_{2l}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n+\delta}}{(q; q)_{2n+\delta}} \sum_{k=0}^n q^{\binom{2k+\delta}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+\delta \\ 2k+\delta \end{bmatrix}_q. \end{aligned}$$

By the q -binomial theorem (cf. [AAR, Corollary 10.2.2(c)]),

$$(x; q)_m = \sum_{k=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} x^k \quad \text{for any } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{k=0}^n q^{\binom{2k+\delta}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+\delta \\ 2k+\delta \end{bmatrix}_q &= \sum_{l=0}^{2n+\delta} q^{\binom{l}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+\delta \\ l \end{bmatrix}_q + \sum_{l=0}^{2n+\delta} (-1)^{\delta+l} q^{\binom{l}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+\delta \\ l \end{bmatrix}_q \\ &= (-1; q)_{2n+\delta} + (-1)^{\delta} (1; q)_{2n+\delta} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{\binom{2k+\delta}{2}} x^{2k+\delta}}{(q; q)_{2k+\delta}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l x^{2l}}{(q; q)_{2l}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n+\delta}}{(q; q)_{2n+\delta}} \cdot \frac{(-1; q)_{2n+\delta} + (-1)^{\delta} (1; q)_{2n+\delta}}{2} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-q; q)_{2n-1} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n}} & \text{if } \delta = 0, \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-q; q)_{2n} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n+1}}{(q; q)_{2n+1}} & \text{if } \delta = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We are done. $\square$

Remark 4.1. (4.1) and (4.2) are q -analogues of the trigonometric identities

$$\frac{1 + \cos(2x)}{2} = \cos^2 x \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\sin(2x)}{2} = \sin x \cos x$$

respectively.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $n \geq k \geq 1$ be integers. Then both $(-q; q)_k \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q$ and $(-q; q)_k \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k+1 \end{bmatrix}_q$ are divisible by*

$$(-q^{n-k+1}; q)_k = \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + q^{n-j+1}).$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q &= \prod_{j=1}^{2k} \frac{1 - q^{2n-j+1}}{1 - q^j} = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{(1 - q^{2n-2j+1})(1 - q^{2n-(2j-1)+1})}{(1 - q^{2j})(1 - q^{2j-1})} \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{(1 - q^{n-j+1})(1 + q^{n-j+1})(1 - q^{2n-2j+1})}{(1 - q^j)(1 + q^j)(1 - q^{2j-1})} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{\prod_{j=1}^k (1 + q^{n-j+1})}{(-q; q)_k} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1 - q^{2n-2j+1}}{1 - q^{2j-1}}
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(-q^{n-k+1}; q)_k \mid (-q; q)_k \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - q^{2j-1}).$$

Recall that $(-q^{n-k+1}; q)_k = \prod_{n-k < l \leq n} (1 + q^l)$ is relatively prime to $\prod_{j=1}^k (1 - q^{2j-1})$. Therefore $(-q^{n-k+1}; q)_k \mid (-q; q)_k \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q$.

Since $[2k+1]_q$ is also relatively prime to $(-q^{n-k+1}; q)_k$, we have

$$(-q; q)_k \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k+1 \end{bmatrix}_q = (-q; q)_k \frac{[2n+1]_q}{[2k+1]_q} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q \equiv 0 \pmod{(-q^{n-k+1}; q)_k}.$$

This concludes the proof. $\square$

Remark 4.2. Lemma 4.2 yields a trivial result as $q \rightarrow 1$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Clearly

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x) &:= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{2n}(q) \frac{x^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n}} \right) \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-q; q)_{2n-1} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n}} \right) \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{2n}(q) \frac{x^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n}} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k (-q; q)_{2k-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q S_{2n-2k}(q).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k-1)} x^{2k}}{(q; q)_{2k}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l x^{2l}}{(q; q)_{2l}} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} S_{2n}(q) + \sum_{0 < k \leq n} (-1)^k (-q; q)_{2k-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q S_{2n-2k}(q) \\ = (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q \equiv 0 \pmod{(-q; q)_n} \end{aligned}$$

with the help of (1.8) or Theorem 3.1. If $(-q; q)_l \mid S_{2l}(q)$ for all $0 \leq l < n$, then

$$S_{2n}(q) \equiv - \sum_{0 < k \leq n} (-1)^k (-q; q)_{2k-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q S_{2n-2k}(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{(-q; q)_n}$$

since $\prod_{0 < j \leq n-k} (1 + q^j)$ divides $S_{2n-2k}(q)$ and $\prod_{n-k < j \leq n} (1 + q^j)$ divides $(-q; q)_{2k-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q$ by Lemma 4.2. Thus we have the desired result by induction. $\square$

Remark 4.3. As $q \rightarrow 1$ our new recursion for q -Salié numbers yields a useful recursion for Salié numbers:

$$S_{2n} + \sum_{0 < k \leq n} (-1)^k 2^{2k-1} \binom{2n}{2k} S_{2n-2k} = (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{2n}{2k},$$

from which the Carlitz result $2^n \mid S_{2n}$ follows by induction.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. It is apparent that

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &:= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{2n}(q) \frac{x^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n}} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-q; q)_{2n} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n+1}}{(q; q)_{2n+1}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(q; q)_{2n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k (-q; q)_{2k} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k+1 \end{bmatrix}_q C_{2n-2k}(q). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, (4.2) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k-1)} x^{2k+1}}{(q; q)_{2k+1}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l x^{2l}}{(q; q)_{2l}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(q; q)_{2n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} q^{k(k-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k+1 \end{bmatrix}_q. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the recurrence relation

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k (-q; q)_{2k} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k+1 \end{bmatrix}_q C_{2n-2k}(q) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} q^{k(k-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k+1 \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

The right-hand side of the last equality is a multiple of $(-q; q)_n$ by (3.4). So we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k (-q; q)_{2k} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k+1 \end{bmatrix}_q C_{2n-2k}(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{(-q; q)_n}.$$

Assume that $(-q; q)_l$ divides the numerator of $C_{2l}(q)$ for each $0 \leq l < n$. Then $(-q; q)_n$ divides the numerator of $(-q; q)_{2k} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k+1 \end{bmatrix}_q C_{2n-2k}(q)$ for each $0 < k \leq n$, because $\prod_{0 < j \leq n-k} (1 + q^j)$ divides the numerator of $C_{2n-2k}(q)$ and $\prod_{n-k < j \leq n} (1 + q^j)$ divides $(-q; q)_{2k} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k+1 \end{bmatrix}_q$ by Lemma 4.2. Thus $(-q; q)_n$ must also divide the numerator of $\begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q C_{2n}(q) = [2n+1]_q C_{2n}(q)$. Recall that $[2n+1]_q$ is relatively prime to $(-q; q)_n$. So the numerator of $C_{2n}(q)$ is divisible by $(-q; q)_n$.

In view of the above, the desired result follows by induction on n . $\square$

Remark 4.4. As $q \rightarrow 1$ our new recursion for q -Carlitz numbers yields the following recurrence relation for Carlitz numbers:

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k 2^{2k} \binom{2n+1}{2k+1} C_{2n-2k} = (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{2n+1}{2k+1}.$$

From this one can easily deduce the Carlitz congruence $C_{2n} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^n}$.

Acknowledgments. The second author is indebted to Prof. Jiang Zeng at University of Lyon-I for showing Carlitz's paper [C2] and the preprint [GZ] during Sun's visit to the Institute of Camille Jordan. This paper was finished during Sun's visit to the University of California at Irvine; he would like to thank Prof. Daqing Wan for the invitation.

REFERENCES

- [AAR] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy, *Special Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [C1] L. Carlitz, *The coefficients of $\sinh x / \sin x$* , Math. Mag. **29** (1956), 193-197.
- [C2] L. Carlitz, *The coefficients of $\cosh x / \cos x$* , Monatsh. Math. **69** (1965), 129-135.
- [E] R. Ernvall, *Generalized Bernoulli numbers, generalized irregular primes, and class number*, Ann. Univ. Turku. Ser. A, I(178), 1979, 72 pp.
- [G] J. M. Gandhi, *The coefficients of $\cosh x / \cos x$ and a note on Carlitz's coefficients of $\sinh x / \sin x$* , Math. Mag. **31** (1958), 185-191.
- [GZ] Victor J. W. Guo and J. Zeng, *Some arithmetic properties of the q -Euler numbers and q -Salié numbers*, European J. Combin. **27** (2006), 884-895.
- [St] M. A. Stern, *Zur Theorie der Eulerschen Zahlen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **79** (1875), 67-98.
- [Su] Z. W. Sun, *On Euler numbers modulo powers of two*, J. Number Theory **115** (2005), 371-380.
- [W] S. S. Wagstaff, Jr., *Prime divisors of the Bernoulli and Euler numbers*, in: Number Theory for the Millennium, III (Urbana, IL, 2000), 357-374, A K Peters, Natick, MA, 2002.

Department of Mathematics

Nanjing University

Nanjing 210093

People's Republic of China

E-mail: (Hao Pan) haopan79@yahoo.com.cn

(Zhi-Wei Sun) zwsun@nju.edu.cn